

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/572,779 | 03/21/2006 | Hiroyuki Tanaka | Q92902 | 9453 |
| 23373 7590 06/03/2010 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | HU, HENRY S | |
| SUITE 800 WASHINGTO | N. DC 20037 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , _ 0 _ 0 0 0 0 | | 1796 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/03/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| 10/572,779 | TANAKA ET AL. | |
| Vasu Jagannathan | 1796 | |
| vasu Jagannatnan | 1790 | |

Part of Paper No. 20100602

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Reque | est for Review filed 5 May 2010. |
|---|--|
| 1. The Request – The Request is in reason(s): | nproper and a conference will not be held for the following |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been fil☐ The request does not include reasons☐ A proposed amendment is included w☐ Other: | ed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. s why a review is appropriate. vith the Pre-Appeal Brief request. |
| The time period for filing a response continue the mail date of the last Office communication | es to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from n, if no Notice of Appeal has been received. |
| held. The application remains under appeal bis required to submit an appeal brief in according brief will be reset to be one month from mailing running from the receipt of the notice of appear. | and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant dance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appealing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time periodial, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 6 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date |
| The panel has determined the status Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| 3. ☑ Allowable application – A conference Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the applicant at this time. | has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of merits remains closed. No further action is required by |
| 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference haction will be mailed. No further action is req | nas been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office uired by applicant at this time. |
| All participants: | · |
| (1) <u>/Vasu Jagannathan/</u> . | (3) <u>/Henry Hu/</u> . |
| (2) /James Seidleck/. | (4) |
| Vasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art | |